IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

STATE OF OKLAHOMA, e	t al.)	
	Plaintiffs,)	
v.)	Case No. 4:05-cv-00329-GKF-PJC
TYSON FOODS, INC., et al)	
	Defendants.)	

DEFENDANTS' RESPONSE IN OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFFS' MOTIONS IN LIMINE PERTAINING TO ALTERNATE SOURCES OF PHOSPHORUS AND BACTERIA TO THE IRW [Dkt. No. 2436] AND BACTERIAL OR PHOSPHORUS LEVELS IN OTHER WATERSHEDS [Dkt. No. 2411]

EXHIBIT 5
Timothy Sullivan Deposition Excerpts

257

			23,
1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA		
2			
3 4	W. A. DREW EDMONDSON, in his		
5	capacity as ATTORNEY GENERAL) OF THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA and)	08:43:24
6	OKLAHOMA SECRETARY OF THE ENVIRONMENT C. MILES TOLBERT,)	08:43:24
O	in his capacity as the)	
7	TRUSTEE FOR NATURAL RESOURCES; FOR THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA,		
8			
9	Plaintiff,))	
1.0	vs.	4:05-CV-00329-TCK-SAJ	00 42 04
10	TYSON FOODS, INC., et al,))	08:43:24 08:43:24
11	Defendents		
12	Defendants.)	
13			
14			
15	VOLUME II VIDEOTAPED DEPOSI	TION OF TIMOTHY I	08:43:24 08:43:24
16	VOLIOME II VIDEOTAPED DEPOSI.	TION OF TIMOTHE U.	00:43:24
17	SULLIVAN, Ph.D., produced as a	a witness on behalf of	
1 /	the Plaintiffs in the above st	tyled and numbered	
18	cause, taken on the 8th day of	f Anril 2009 in the	
19	·	-	
20	City of Tulsa, County of Tulsa	a, State of Oklahoma,	08:43:24
	before me, Karla E. Barrow, a	Certified Shorthand	08:43:24
21	Reporter, duly certified under	r and by virtue of the	
22	laws of the State of Oklahoma		
23	Taws Of the State Of Oktaholia	•	
24 25			08:43:24
2,7			08:43:24

258

ĺ			1
1	A P P E A	R A N C E S	
2			
3			
4	FOR THE PLAINTIFFS:	MS. KELLY HUNTER BURCH	
F		Assistant Attorney General 313 N.E. 21st Street	08:43:24
5		Oklahoma City, OK 73105	
6		Oktanoma City, Ok 73105	00:43:24
0	FOR TYSON FOODS:	MR. MICHAEL BOND	
7		Attorney at Law	
		234 East Millsap Road	
8		Suite 400	
		Fayetteville, AR 72703	
9			
	FOR CARGILL:	MR. COLIN TUCKER	
10		Attorney at Law	08:43:24
		100 West 5th Street	08:43:24
11		Suite 400	
10		Tulsa, OK 74103	
12	FOR SIMMONS FOODS:	MS. VICKI BRONSON	
13	FOR SIMONS FOODS.	Attorney at Law	
13		211 East Dickson Street	
14		Fayetteville, AR 72701	
15	FOR GEORGE'S:	MS. K.C. TUCKER	08:43:24
		Attorney at Law	08:43:24
16		221 North College	
		Fayetteville, AR 72701	
17			
	VIDEOGRAPHERS:	Mr. Nick Henson	
18		Ms. Neisha Personette	
19			00.42.04
20			08:43:24 08:43:24
21			00.43:24
22			
23			
24			
25			

259

1	I N D E X		
2	WITNESS	PAGE	
3	TIMOTHY J. SULLIVAN, Ph.D.		
	Continued Direct Examination by Ms. Burch	n 260	
4			
	Signature Page	499	
5	Reporter's Certificate	500	08:43:24
			08:43:24
6			
7			
8			
9			
10			08:43:24
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

260

1		(Whereupon, the deposition began at 8:44	
2	a.m.)		
3		VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now on the record	
4	for V	Volume II of the deposition of Timothy Sullivan.	
5	Today	r is April 9th, 2009. The time is 8:44 a.m.	08:44:25
6	Couns	sel, please identify yourselves for the record.	
7		MS. BURCH: Kelly Burch, State of	
8	Oklah	noma.	
9		MR. BOND: Michael Bond for Tyson Foods,	
10	Tyson	Poultry, Tyson Chicken and Cobb-Vantress.	08:44:32
11		VIDEOGRAPHER: And on the phone?	
12		MS. TUCKER: K.C. Tucker for the George's	
13	defer	ndants.	
14		VIDEOGRAPHER: Thank you.	
15		TIMOTHY J. SULLIVAN, Ph.D.,	08:45:06
16	havir	ng been previously duly sworn to tell the truth,	
17	the w	whole truth and nothing but the truth, testified	
18	as fo	ollows:	
19		CONTINUED DIRECT EXAMINATION	
20	BY MS	S. BURCH:	08:45:06
21	Q	When were you retained in this case?	
22	A	A little over three years ago.	
23	Q	Who retained you?	
24	A	As a person or as a company?	
25	Q	Either one.	08:45:15

427

1	Q Do you know whether the EPA has issued any	
2	reports identifying sources or quantifying the	
3	relative contribution of the sources to water	
4	quality issues in the Illinois River watershed?	
5	MR. BOND: Object to the form.	02:19:21
6	A I believe they had a clean study on Lake	
7	Tenkiller a long time ago, but I really don't	
8	remember if they made any attempt to provide such	
9	quantitative estimates.	
10	Q (By Ms. Burch) Did you review that report in	02:19:26
11	detail?	
12	A No. Most of my work focused on the stream	
13	system rather than the lake. I know I looked at it.	
14	I don't know if I read it or not, and when I looked	
15	at it would have been right at the beginning of the	02:20:02
16	case before I knew what I was going to focus on in	
17	the case.	
18	Q Do you have an opinion regarding the relative	
19	contribution of sources in the Illinois River	
20	watershed of phosphorus to Lake Tenkiller?	02:20:10
21	A Do I have an opinion of what the sources are?	
22	Q Yes.	
23	A Yes, I have an opinion, but with the caveat	
24	that we really need to have the appropriate data to	
25	demonstrate what the sources are and to quantify	02:20:20

428

1	what the sources are, and those data are not	
2	available. But what my opinion is is that major	
3	sources of phosphorus would include wastewater	
4	treatment plants, runoff from urban areas, cattle	
5	manure, erosion, erosion associated with the stream	02:20:32
6	bank, associated with unpaved roads, associated with	
7	construction activities, those would be the biggest	
8	sources of erosion, but erosion of all sorts. Row	
9	crop erosion, not a lot of row crop in the	
10	watershed, but all sources of erosion. Lake	02:21:14
11	Francis, I believe, is a significant source of	
12	phosphorus to the Illinois River, below Lake	
13	Francis. I would say those are probably the most	
14	important sources of phosphorus to the river.	
15	Q (By Ms. Burch) The most important sources;	02:21:25
16	what do you mean?	
17	A That quantitatively provide that those	
18	sources probably provide the bulk of the phosphorus	
19	that gets into the river.	
20	Q How much phosphorus is contributed to Lake	02:22:02
21	Tenkiller from wastewater treatment plants?	
22	A As a percentage?	
23	Q As a percentage or as, you know, an estimate	
24	of quantity.	
25	A There are estimates of that. That's a little	02:22:10